BAY AREA SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING FAIR MERIT JUDGING FORM 2020

NOTE: Merit judges will receive assigned student projects electronically and submit their project scores through a secure link. Every effort will be made to have each project evaluated by 4 experienced merit judges.

Evaluation Criteria Score

| Criterion 1 – Scientific thought (PDF files as outlined) | / 50 |
|---|-------|
| Criterion 2 – Abstract | / 10 |
| Criterion 3 – Display (photo/PDF file as outlined) | / 10 |
| Criterion 4 – Video (replacing the traditional interview) | / 20 |
| Criterion 5 – Journal | / 10 |
| TOTAL Score | / 100 |

CRITERIA 1 – SCIENTIFIC THOUGHT

| SCIENTIFIC THOUGHT (maximum 50) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|-------------|--|
| Definition | Level 1 (acceptable) | Level 2 (fair) | Level 3 (good |) | Level 4 (excellent) |
| Experiment Investigation undertaken to test one or more hypotheses. | Duplication and reporting of an experiment to test a previously confirmed hypothesis. | Extension of a known experiment through modification of its procedure, data collection, analysis or application. | A new approach to the design, modification or application of an existing experiment with control of some variables. | | A new experimental approach to a research problem in which most of the significant variables are controlled. |
| Study A collection and analysis of data showing evidence of a correlation, or pattern of scientific interest. | Study and presentation of printed material related to the basic issue. | Study of material collected through compilation of, or expansion of, existing data. The study attempts to address a specific issue. | Study based on new observations and research of a previously studied topic. Appropriate analysis of data and correlations made. | | A new approach to the study of a problem which correlates information from a number of sources. The report also offers new insights or solutions to the problem. |
| Innovation The development and evaluation of models or innovative devices, using approaches from the field of technology or engineering. | Building models or other devices that duplicate existing technology; minimal reporting | Make improvement to an existing technology or use an existing technology for new applications. | Design and build an innovative adaptation of an existing technology for a new application. | | Build a novel technology or integrate technologies to form an innovative system that has commercial or human benefit. |
| Score out of a possible 50 marks. | 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 | 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 | 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 | | 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 |
| Deferrate rubrie te deter | mine the level of the prei | and by motobing the day | riation with | [| |
| the project. | Thine the level of the proj | ect by matching the desc | nption with | l evel 1 | (acceptable) |
| Select whether the project is either an experiment, study, or innovation. | | | | | |
| Consider the following | | | | | |
| Is information included in their submissions: | | | Level 3 (good) | | |
| Introduction/Background and purpose | | | Level 4 | (excellent) | |
| Hurden Hypoth | esis/question | | | | |
| Materia | als and methods | | | | |
| Data and results Conclusions/Analysis | | | RATING: /50 | | |
| | wledgments | | | | |
| o Refere | nces | | | | |
| Maximum of 20 pages | recommended with the c | option of additional apper | ndices | | |

CRITERIA 2 – ABSTRACT

| • | Does the abstract cover all aspects of the project? Is the information concise, complete, and accurate? Is the abstract well written? (grammar, syntax and spelling) | | |
|---|--|---------|-----|
| | | RATING: | /10 |

CRITERIA 3 – DISPLAY

| • | Is workmanship neat and carefully done? Is lettering clear? Are colours strong and suitable? | | |
|-----------|---|---------|-----|
| • • • • • | Is the layout complete, logical and self-explanatory? Is the content clearly and logically presented? Is the display simple and visually balanced? Does it capture attention? Does it have impact? Is there good balance and use of contrasts? | RATING: | /10 |

CRITERIA 4 – VIDEO

| • | Is the project well explained/ summarized? | | |
|-------------|---|---------|-----|
| • and re | Does the student(s) speak about things not included in the abstract port? | | |
| | | RATING: | /20 |
| | | | |

CRITERIA 5 – JOURNAL/LOGBOOK

| Does the journal / logbook show evidence of: | | |
|---|---------|-----|
| Initial brainstorming on possible problems/questions to explore Planning Experimental work/ data collection Analysis of findings | RATING: | /10 |